UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,969	09/04/2003	Jay S. Walker	02-058	8907
22927 7 WALKER DIGI	7590 02/05/2007 TTAI		EXAMINER SAGER, MARK ALAN	
2 HIGH RIDGE	PARK			
STAMFORD, C	T 06905		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MON	TUC	02/05/2007	DAD	ED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				۶v			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>'</u> .			
		10/655,969	WALKER ET AL.				
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,		M. A. Sager	3712				
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from 1 - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the set	GER, FROM THE MAILING DA vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 the mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory period value or extended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE and date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on <u>04 Se</u>	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is FI	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accord	ance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	,			
Disposition of Claims				,			
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s)	are rejected.	·					
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) fi Applicant may not Replacement draw	request that any objection to the wing sheet(s) including the correct	er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is obtainer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	ı.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
12) Acknowledgmen a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of applicatio	t is made of a claim for foreign ne * c) None of: copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority document in from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure Sta	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/655,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Objections

1. Claim5 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: spelling of 'n' rather than --in-- (claim 5, line 1) and spelling of 'form' rather than --from--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marnell (5259613). Marnell discloses a process comprising determining that a player at a gaming device would like to communicate with another (abstract, 2:32-3:41, figs. 1-6), determining an individual who will communicate with the player (abstract, 2:32-3:41, figs 1-6) and enabling communication between the player and individual (abstract, 2:32-3:41, figs 1-6).
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Finnigan. Finnigan discloses a process comprising determining that a player at a gaming device would like to communicate with another, determining an individual who will communicate with the player and enabling communication between the player and individual whereby a player uses a cellular phone to call another such as by dialing their phone number and they communicate over carrier.
- 4. Regarding scope of claim 1, with broadest reasonable interpretation, and is provided only to demonstrate breadth thereof, claim 1 appears to include a player at a gaming machine conversing with another individual such that determining that a player at a gaming device would

Application/Control Number: 10/655,969

Art Unit: 3712

Page 3

like to communicate with another is a player at a gaming device starting conversation with another individual nearby by stating a comment or question (which includes via sign language), determining an individual who will communicate with the player such as the individual responding to the player comment or question and enabling communication between the player and individual is player and individual using language or hand signals (for deaf) to converse.

5. Claims 1-6 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wynn (5971271). Wynn discloses a process (abstract, 2:10-3:32, figs. 1A-20A) comprising determining that a player at a gaming device would like to communicate with another (ref 16. 44), determining an individual who will communicate with the player (ref 16, 44) and enabling communication between the player and individual (abstract, 2:10-3:32), monitoring gaming activities of a player at a gaming device (abstract, 2:10-3:32, 4:20-5:39, 7:55-59), determining, based on the gaming activities, whether to initiate communication between the player and an individual (5:21-27); enabling communication between the player and the individual (ref 16, 44), determining a prompt based on the gaming activities (2:10-3:32, 5:21-27, 7:55-59, 11:1-47), outputting the prompt to the individual which the prompt comprises an offer for at least one of a product or service (2:10-3:32, 5:21-27, 6:11-19, 7:55-59), enabling the individual to provide a service to the player (5:21-27, 6:11-19, 7:55-59), an apparatus ((abstract, 2:10-3:32, figs. 1A-20A), comprising a processor, and a memory in communication with the processor, in which the memory stores a program that is operable to direct the processor to perform the method or a computer readable medium, which stores a program that is operable to direct a processor to perform the method (sic).

Application/Control Number: 10/655,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynn in view of Walker (6068552) or over Wynn in view of Walker and either Pease (5326104) or Marks (5755621). Wynn discloses claimed steps of method (supra) including player requesting assistance but lacks altering the state of the gaming device based on an input received [from] the individual. Walker discloses gaming device and method teaching altering the state of the gaming device based on an input received by the gaming device such as a player requesting redemption or converting loyalty points for improved odds (10:43-51). Although Walker discusses the process as being a transaction done by gaming machine based on player input, it is known for gaming machines to include call or assistance request button (i.e. help request) so as to permit a player to request assistance from casino personnel regarding gaming machine operation which includes requesting assistance on how to play or use a gaming device or functions thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,969

Art Unit: 3712

Page 5

Wynn includes call request feature to request help from casino personnel including a call request for help with gaming operation/function. Thus, it would have been obvious to an artisan at a time prior to the invention to add altering the state of the gaming device based on an input received from the individual as taught by Walker to Wynn in order to permit assistance regarding exchanging comp points for improved odds. Alternatively, where Wynn does not specifically state as including a help request with respect to gaming functions, Pease (7:19-34, 8:23, 15:50-53, ref. 28) or Marks (12:55-64) each disclose a call or help request button on a gaming machine to request assistance regarding gaming machine operation or function so as to communicate with casino representative, attendant or supervisor to alter gaming function or operation (i.e. stuck coin, hand pay, purchase coin/tokens so player does not need to leave machine, etc.). Thus, it would have been obvious to an artisan at a time prior to the invention to add altering the state of the gaming device based on an input received from the individual as taught/suggested by Walker and either Marks or Pease to Wynn in order to permit assistance regarding gaming function or operation including exchanging comp points for improved odds.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. A. Sager whose telephone number is 571-272-4454. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 0700-1730 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on 571-272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,969 Page 6

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) 571-272-1000.

M. A. Sager Primary Examiner Art Unit 3712

mas